Gonadotropin dose is negatively correlated with live birth rate: analysis of more than 650,000 assisted reproductive technology cycles

Valerie L. Baker, M.D.,[a] Morton B. Brown, Ph.D.,[b] Barbara Luke, Sc.D., M.P.H.,[c] George W. Smith, Ph.D.,[d] and James J. Ireland, Ph.D.[e]

[a] Division of Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California; [b] Department of Biostatistics, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan; [c] Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology; [d] Laboratory of Mammalian Reproductive Biology and Genomics, Department of Animal Science; and [e] Molecular Reproductive Endocrinology Laboratory, Department of Animal Science, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan

Objective: To evaluate the correlation between total gonadotropin dose and live birth rate.

Design: Retrospective analysis.

Setting: Not applicable.

Patient(s): A total of 658,519 fresh autologous cycles of in vitro fertilization (IVF) reported to the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology from 2004 to 2012.

Intervention(s): None.

Main Outcome Measure(s): Logistic regression models were fitted to live birth rates with the use of categorized values for total FSH dose and number of oocytes retrieved as the primary predictor variables. To reduce the effect of the most significant confounders that may lead physicians to prescribe higher doses of FSH, additional analyses were performed limited to good-prognosis patients (<35 years of age, body mass index <30 kg/m^2, and no diagnosis of diminished ovarian reserve, endometriosis, or ovulatory disorder) and including duration of gonadotropin treatment.

Result(s): Live birth rate significantly decreased with increasing FSH dose, regardless of the number of oocytes retrieved. The statistically significant decrease in live birth rate with increasing FSH dose remained in patients with good prognosis, and regardless of female age, except for women aged ≥35 years with 1–5 oocytes retrieved.

Conclusion(s): This analysis suggests that physicians may wish to avoid prescribing a high dose of FSH. However, the results of this study do not justify the use of minimal-stimulation or natural-cycle IVF. (Fertil Steril® 2015;104:1145–52. ©2015 by American Society for Reproductive Medicine.)

Key Words: In vitro fertilization, gonadotropin dose, live birth rate

Discuss: You can discuss this article with its authors and with other ASRM members at http://fertstertforum.com/bakerv-gonadotropin-dose-ivf/

Use your smartphone to scan this QR code and connect to the discussion forum for this article now.*

* Download a free QR code scanner by searching for "QR scanner" in your smartphone's app store or app marketplace.

Gonadotropin is commonly administered during IVF cycles at doses that allow retrieval of multiple oocytes, with the goal of improving the chance of live birth above what would have been possible with retrieval of a single oocyte (1). The number of oocytes retrieved is positively correlated with live birth rate (2–5). Although it is generally

agreed that there is benefit to the retrieval of multiple oocytes, it is now recognized that the abnormal hormonal milieu generated by ovarian stimulation may have adverse effects on the endometrium during fresh autologous cycles of IVF (6–11). Furthermore, there is evidence that superovulation may adversely affect embryo quality, possibly because of interference with natural selection of the best-quality oocytes or other repercussions of ovarian stimulation on oocyte, aneuploidy, or embryo quality (8, 12–15). Given the potential for adverse consequences of ovarian stimulation on the endometrium, oocyte, or embryo, there is increasing interest in mild ovarian stimulation for IVF with the goal of retrieving a limited number of oocytes (15, 16).

Less attention has been given to the possibility that the dosage of gonadotropin may influence chance of live birth. Several small studies suggest that high gonadotropin dose is associated with a reduction in live birth rate (17, 18). A meta-analysis of 11 randomized trials that examined FSH dose (including a total of 1,967 women) found no benefit of a daily gonadotropin dose of >200 IU in normal responders <39 years of age (19), a dose that is modest compared with doses commonly used in the United States. Two small studies found no benefit of increasing the starting dose of recombinant FSH from 150 IU to 300 IU in women with low anti-müllerian hormone (AMH) concentrations (20) or in women with an antral follicle count of <5 (21). A recent randomized trial of a novel recombinant human FSH found a positive dose-response relationship among the 265 women included regarding number of oocytes retrieved (the end point for which the study was powered), but no difference in the number of good-quality blastocysts with increasing dose (22).

Although FSH dose-response studies during ART in women are limited, results of dose-response studies in cattle show that maximal response to superovulation (SOV_{max}) plateaus, and FSH doses exceeding the SOV_{max} decrease ovulatory follicle number, E_2 production, number of retrieved oocytes, number of fertilized ova, and number of transferable embryos (23–32) and increase the number of degenerated embryos (27) per retrieval. Taken together, these findings in cows along with findings in women imply that high FSH doses during IVF may impair ovulatory follicle number/ function, oocyte and embryo quality, and embryo survival. Greater study of the potential effect of gonadotropin dose on live birth rate in IVF is therefore warranted.

The objective of this study was to examine the correlation between total gonadotropin dosage and live birth rate for fresh autologous cycles of IVF. With the use of a large database, it was possible to examine the relationship between gonadotropin dose and live birth rate while stratifying for number of oocytes retrieved. The large database also allowed us to perform subgroup analyses to account for factors such as age, body mass index (BMI), and diminished ovarian reserve that could simultaneously have a negative effect on live birth rate and lead physicians to prescribe a higher dose of gonadotropin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study population included fresh IVF cycles with at least one autologous oocyte that were reported to the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology Clinic Outcomes Reporting System (SART CORS) in the years 2004–2012 (n = 658,519). SART CORS contains data from >90% of all clinics providing IVF in the United States. Data are collected and verified by SART, then reported to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention in compliance with the Fertility Clinic Success Rate and Certification Act of 1992 (Public Law 102-493). Cycles were excluded if they were used for research or embryo banking, used a gestational carrier, or used oral medication for ovulation induction.

Cycles were categorized by number of oocytes retrieved (1–5, 6–10, 11–15, 16–20, 20–25, and >25), FSH dose (<1,000 IU, 1,000–2,000 IU, 2,001–3,000 IU, 3,001–4,000 IU, 4,001–5,000 IU, and >5,000 IU), and female age (<35 years, 35–39 years, and ≥40 years). The total gonadotropin dose as reported to SART CORS reflects the total dose of FSH from both FSH-only and hMG preparations, and does not include the dose of LH activity, if any was administered. To characterize the study population, oocyte number was compared across categories of gonadotropin dose and female age with the use of χ^2 for categoric variables of gonadotropin dose and oocyte number.

Logistic regression models were fitted to the data with the use of categoric values for total gonadotropin dose as the primary predictor variable and live birth rate as the primary outcome variable. Live birth rate was calculated per cycle. A live birth was defined as one reported by the fertility clinic as a live birth and, if confirmed, with a length of gestation ≥22 weeks and birth weight ≥300 g. Tests for trends in live birth rates as a function of gonadotropin dose or number of oocytes retrieved were analyzed by fitting logistic regression to the live birth rate where the six categories of gonadotropin dose and the six categories of oocyte number retrieved were each recoded as 1 through 6.

To account for the most significant confounders which may lead physicians to prescribe higher doses of FSH, a subgroup analysis was limited to good-prognosis patients (<35 years of age, BMI <30 kg/m², and no diagnosis of diminished ovarian reserve, endometriosis, or ovulatory disorder). Subgroup analysis was also performed for cycles for each of the three most common protocols (GnRH agonist long, GnRH agonist flare, and GnRH antagonist).

A second analysis was performed taking into account the number of days of gonadotropin stimulation and average daily dose. Information to allow calculation of these parameters was available for about one-half of the cycles (n = 369,501). This analysis was limited to cycles with 5–19 days of ovarian stimulation, with the assumption that outliers beyond this range could represent data entry errors, a restriction that eliminated <1% of the observations. Daily gonadotropin dose was calculated by dividing the total dose of gonadotropin by the number of days of ovarian stimulation. Analysis was limited to cycles with 25–1,200 IU calculated daily dose, also to prevent inclusion of cycles with data entered in error, a restriction that eliminated <1% of the observed data.

Logistic regression models were fitted to live birth rate that initially included all diagnoses, age, number of oocytes retrieved, and gonadotropin dose as predictor variables. Of

the diagnoses, diminished ovarian reserve, tubal disease, uterine abnormality, and "other" were all found to have a negative effect on live birth rate, and therefore were retained in the model and the other diagnoses were dropped. The interactions between age, number of oocytes retrieved, and gonadotropin dose were small and were also dropped from the model. Odds ratios with 95% Wald confidence limits (CIs) were calculated to estimate the effect of either total gonadotropin dose or average daily gonadotropin dose on live birth rate.

Data were analyzed with the use of SAS software, version 9.3 (SAS Institute) and Excel (Microsoft). The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards at Stanford University, Michigan State University, and the University of Michigan.

RESULTS

A description of the study population is provided in Table 1. Approximately 82% of cycles used a total FSH dose from 1,000 IU and 5,000 IU, with a median total gonadotropin dose of ~3,000 IU. For women aged <35 years, one-third were treated with a dose of 2,001–3,000 IU FSH, whereas for women aged ≥40 years, about one-third were treated

with a total FSH dose of >5,000 IU. Nearly one-third of cycles that used the highest dose (>5,000 IU) were associated with retrieval of only 1–5 oocytes, suggesting that physicians anticipated the poor response and chose a high starting dose, or that a lengthy ovarian stimulation was required in these poor responders. However, relatively high doses of gonadotropin were used also in many women with a high number of oocytes retrieved. For example, nearly 18% of cycles that used 4,001–5,000 IU had retrieval of >15 oocytes.

This study excluded cycles in which no oocytes were retrieved, which composed ~0.5% of all cycles (data not shown). The highest rates of no oocytes retrieved were at the two extremes of gonadotropin dose (0.9% of cycles with dose of <1,000 IU and 1.0% of cycles with dose of >5,000 IU). As expected, the distribution of oocyte number retrieved varied depending on infertility diagnoses (Supplemental Table 1, available online at www.fertstert.org). For example, 34.5% of cycles with a diagnosis of diminished ovarian reserve were associated with retrieval of 1–5 oocytes, compared with 8.7% of cycles with a diagnosis of ovulation disorder. Differences were significant at $P<.0001$ across oocyte groups within infertility diagnosis and FSH dose categories for all ages, within oocyte groups across FSH dose categories for all ages, and within each age group.

TABLE 1

Description of study population showing the percentage of cycles as a function of the number of oocytes retrieved at each FSH dose.

| FSH dose (IU) | No. of cycles | No. of oocytes retrieved | | | | | |
		1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	21–25	>25
All ages							
<1,000	10,916	12.1	21.2	25.5	18.8	11.1	11.4
1,000–2,000	132,976	6.7	20.0	26.5	21.3	12.9	12.7
2,001–3,000	184,182	9.7	26.3	27.4	18.5	9.8	8.3
3,001–4,000	116,419	16.4	32.4	25.6	14.1	6.5	5.0
4,001–5,000	105,635	22.7	36.7	23.0	10.5	4.4	2.8
>5,000	108,391	33.7	38.4	17.7	6.7	2.2	1.3
Total	658,519	16.3	29.7	24.6	15.1	7.8	6.6
Age <35 y							
<1,000	7,793	7.6	20.6	26.7	20.3	12.0	12.8
1,000–2,000	91,534	4.8	18.9	26.6	22.2	13.7	13.8
2,001–3,000	97,444	6.3	23.8	28.4	20.4	11.2	9.8
3,001–4,000	43,255	10.6	29.6	28.1	16.9	8.3	6.5
4,001–5,000	28,195	15.8	34.9	26.2	13.2	5.8	4.0
>5,000	22,086	25.2	38.1	21.8	9.2	3.4	2.3
Total	290,307	8.9	25.2	27.0	18.9	10.5	9.5
Age 35–39 y							
<1,000	2,309	15.3	24.4	24.4	17.3	9.7	9.0
1,000–2,000	33,676	8.4	21.8	26.8	20.2	11.7	11.0
2,001–3,000	64,877	11.0	28.3	27.2	17.4	8.8	7.2
3,001–4,000	47,865	16.5	33.9	25.6	13.6	6.0	4.4
4,001–5,000	45,556	21.7	37.6	23.3	10.6	4.4	2.5
>5,000	45,584	32.2	39.3	18.3	6.8	2.2	1.2
Total	239,867	17.8	32.3	24.4	13.7	6.6	5.2
Age ≥40 y							
<1,000	814	47.1	17.8	16.6	8.4	5.7	4.5
1,000–2,000	7,766	21.5	24.7	23.9	14.9	8.3	6.8
2,001–3,000	21,861	20.5	31.1	23.2	13.5	6.5	5.3
3,001–4,000	25,299	26.0	34.5	21.2	10.3	4.6	3.3
4,001–5,000	31,884	30.2	37.2	19.7	8.0	3.1	1.9
>5,000	40,721	39.9	37.6	14.8	5.2	1.6	0.8
Total	128,345	30.4	34.9	19.3	8.9	3.8	2.7

Note: Each row totals 100%.

Baker. Gonadotropin dose and IVF live birth rate. Fertil Steril 2015.

TABLE 2

Live birth rate (%) per cycle as a function of gonadotropin dose and number of oocytes retrieved.

FSH dose (IU)	All	Number of oocytes retrieved					
		1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	21–25	>25
All ages							
<1,000	42.9	21.7	42.3	46.5	49.8	47.4	42.5
1,000–2,000	43.9	25.6	41.1	45.9	47.9	47.0	43.7
2,001–3,000	38.6	22.2	36.3	41.5	43.4	42.8	40.1
3,001–4,000	31.7	19.0	30.8	35.7	37.0	37.7	35.4
4,001–5,000	26.4	16.1	27.1	30.9	32.6	32.0	30.9
>5,000	19.9	12.5	21.8	26.2	26.2	25.5	26.5
Age <35 y							
<1,000	47.2	33.4	46.3	49.4	51.5	49.5	43.3
1,000–2,000	47.4	33.6	45.0	49.5	50.2	49.5	44.9
2,001–3,000	44.5	31.3	42.5	47.0	47.4	46.3	42.7
3,001–4,000	40.2	28.3	39.7	43.1	42.4	42.7	40.1
4,001–5,000	36.0	25.7	37.0	38.9	39.3	38.7	34.4
>5,000	29.9	21.9	31.8	34.6	32.9	29.6	30.6
Age 35–39 y							
<1,000	38.5	20.4	38.2	40.9	45.4	43.9	44.7
1,000–2,000	39.6	23.5	37.6	40.6	44.6	42.3	41.5
2,001–3,000	36.3	22.4	35.1	38.3	41.0	40.2	37.9
3,001–4,000	32.2	21.9	31.9	35.1	37.0	37.0	34.3
4,001–5,000	28.9	19.5	29.8	32.8	33.9	32.2	33.1
>5,000	23.3	16.2	25.3	28.9	28.6	27.4	29.4
Age ≥40 y							
<1,000	13.6	5.0	13.8	25.9	—	—	—
1,000–2,000	20.7	8.3	19.1	24.6	26.0	29.1	30.2
2,001–3,000	19.2	9.1	18.2	22.0	25.4	26.6	26.7
3,001–4,000	16.1	9.0	15.5	20.4	22.0	23.7	22.5
4,001–5,000	14.3	8.1	15.0	18.3	20.3	20.5	20.0
>5,000	10.6	6.0	12.3	15.7	16.2	17.8	15.1

Note: Differences were significant at *P*< .0001 across oocyte groups within FSH dose categories, within oocyte groups across FSH dose categories for all ages, and within each age group. Dash (—) indicates that the total cell count was <100.

Baker. Gonadotropin dose and IVF live birth rate. Fertil Steril 2015.

Live birth rate decreased with increasing gonadotropin dose, regardless of the number of oocytes retrieved (*P*< .0001) across nearly all oocyte and dose categories (Table 2). Differences were significant at *P*< .0001 across nearly all oocyte groups within FSH dose categories, within oocyte groups across FSH dose categories for nearly all ages, and within each age group. The exception to this trend of decreasing live birth with increasing gonadotropin dose occurred for women aged ≥ 35 years with 1–5 eggs retrieved, where there was no statistically significant change in live birth rate with increasing gonadotropin dose. Live birth rate also increased with increasing number of oocytes retrieved for any category of gonadotropin dose (*P*< .0001). The same observation of decreasing live birth rate with increasing gonadotropin dose also generally held for each of the three most common protocols used (Supplemental Tables 2–4, available online at www.fertstert.org).

We examined the relationship between gonadotropin dose and live birth rate limited to cycles performed for women expected to have a good prognosis. These women were <35 years of age, with BMI <30 kg/m², and without a diagnosis of diminished ovarian reserve, endometriosis, or ovulatory disorder. Over 90% of these good prognosis patients received a total gonadotropin dose of 1,000–5,000 IU (Supplemental Table 5, available online at www.fertstert.org). Among these good-prognosis patients, the live birth rate

decreased with increasing dose of gonadotropin, regardless of the number of oocytes retrieved (*P*< .0001 for all oocyte number categories; Table 3).

Table 4 presents the relationship between average daily dose of gonadotropin and live birth. This analysis was performed to address the possibility that total gonadotropin dose may be high because of a slow response to gonadotropin and a need for a prolonged ovarian stimulation rather than due to a high starting daily dose. The live birth rate decreased as the daily dose of gonadotropin increased, regardless of the number of oocytes retrieved (*P*< .0001) for all age groups.

Logistic regression models that included diagnosis as a predictor along with gonadotropin dose also showed a decrease in live birth rate with high gonadotropin dose. Using total gonadotropin dose of <1,000 IU as the reference group, the odds ratio of live birth was 0.64 (95% CI 0.61–0.67) for total gonadotropin dose >5,000 IU, 0.79 (95% CI 0.76–0.83) for 4,001–5,000 IU, 0.89 (95% CI 0.85–0.93) for 3,001–4,000 IU, 1.02 (95% CI 0.97–1.06) for 2,001–3,000 IU, and 1.11 (95% CI 1.06–1.16) for 1,000–2,000 IU. Thus, a total gonadotropin dose >3,000 IU was associated with a statistically significant decrease in live birth rate, but a total dose of 1,000–2,000 IU was associated with a higher live birth rate than <1,000 IU. A similar trend was seen with the use of average daily dose along with infertility diagnoses as predictors. Using a daily dose of 150 IU or lower as a reference group, the odds ratio

TABLE 3

Live birth rate (%) per cycle as a function of gonadotropin dose and number of oocytes retrieved with analysis limited to cycles with good prognosis (< 35 years of age, body mass index < 30 kg/m², and no diagnosis of diminished ovarian reserve, endometriosis, or ovulatory disorder).

| FSH dose (IU) | All | Number of oocytes retrieved | | | | | |
		1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	21–25	> 25
<1,000	35.1	48.3	50.4	51.4	48.1	42.9	48.1
1,000–2,000	34.8	45.6	50.4	51.2	50.6	46.8	48.6
2,001–3,000	32.9	43.5	47.9	48.0	47.2	44.3	45.7
3,001–4,000	29.2	41.3	43.8	44.1	42.9	41.0	41.6
4,001–5,000	27.0	37.6	39.3	40.7	40.4	35.9	37.3
>5,000	23.7	32.3	34.8	33.3	27.0	30.7	31.1

Baker. Gonadotropin dose and IVF live birth rate. Fertil Steril 2015.

for live birth was 0.68 (95% CI 0.66–0.70) with a total daily dose of >450 IU, 0.84 (95% CI 0.82–0.86) for 301–450 IU, but not significantly lower with an odds ratio of 0.98 (95% CI 0.96–1.01) for 151–300 IU.

DISCUSSION

Live birth rate decreased with increasing total FSH dose, regardless of the number of oocytes retrieved and patient age, except for women aged ≥35 years with 1–5 oocytes retrieved. The absolute percentage drop in live birth with increasing gonadotropin dose was clinically significant, with an absolute decline in live birth rate of >20% when comparing the highest gonadotropin dose with the lowest gonadotropin dose in women of all ages. The average daily dose of gonadotropin was also inversely correlated with live

birth rate, suggesting that the inverse relationship between total gonadotropin dose and live birth rate was due to a higher starting or daily dose and not simply due to longer duration of gonadotropin treatment. In models that adjusted for diagnosis in the prediction of live birth, a total dose of >3,000 IU and an average daily dose of >300 IU were associated with a statistically significant decrease in live birth rate. Although we are reporting an inverse relationship between gonadotropin dose and live birth rate, it is important to note that our data do not provide justification for the use of natural-cycle or minimal-stimulation protocols for IVF.

There are three protocols most commonly used during ART, with different effects on endogenous gonadotropin production. With a long agonist protocol, endogenous production of FSH and LH is suppressed. In contrast, the endogenous production of FSH and LH is increased during the first few days of an agonist flare protocol. During an antagonist protocol, there is baseline endogenous production of FSH and LH until the antagonist is initiated. In addition, the choice of protocol may differ depending on the expected ovarian response. Despite these expected differences in endogenous gonadotropin production and the reasons for protocol choices, the same general trend of decreasing live birth rate with increasing FSH dose was seen for all three protocols.

One potential explanation for the negative correlation between gonadotropin dose and live birth rate seen in nonrandomized studies could be due to patient characteristics, such as reduced sensitivity to FSH (33), that may influence both live birth rate and the FSH dose prescribed. We could not determine if the dosing decision was driven by previous response to gonadotropin. Although we did examine a subset of cycles that did not include the designated diagnosis of diminished ovarian reserve, it is possible that some of these cycles included women who had diminished ovarian reserve even though this diagnosis was not reported by the IVF program. SART CORS does not contain information about antral follicle count. Furthermore, SART CORS did not include a field for serum AMH until 2012, which was the final year of the 9 years included in our dataset, and too few AMH values were entered in this first year that the field was introduced to provide meaningful analysis. Thus these predictors of ovarian sensitivity, which may be used by physicians to determine dose (34, 35), could not be included in the present analysis.

TABLE 4

Live birth rate (%) as a function of average daily gonadotropin dose, stratified by number of oocytes retrieved and age.

| FSH daily dose (IU) | All | Number of oocytes retrieved | | |
		1–5	6–10	11–15	> 15
All ages					
25–150	42.3	22.7	40.8	45.3	45.2
151–300	40.6	23.2	37.8	43.2	43.8
301–450	30.3	17.0	29.7	35.0	36.8
>450	20.7	12.2	22.8	27.5	28.0
Age <35 y					
25–150	45.5	30.0	44.5	47.9	46.8
151–300	45.0	30.9	42.5	47.6	46.4
301–450	39.4	26.5	39.0	42.2	42.4
>450	31.4	21.7	33.7	36.5	34.4
Age 35–39 y					
25–150	37.1	19.8	35.7	39.4	41.4
151–300	36.6	21.7	35.1	38.4	40.5
301–450	30.6	19.5	30.3	35.0	35.8
>450	24.0	15.6	26.2	29.9	30.7
Age ≥40 y					
25–150	17.4	6.8	16.2	27.9	25.8
151–300	19.3	8.0	18.3	23.0	25.4
301–450	15.1	7.8	15.1	19.1	23.2
>450	11.0	6.1	12.5	17.0	17.2

Note: Differences were significant at *P*< .0001 across oocyte groups within FSH dose categories, within oocyte groups across FSH dose categories for all ages, and within each age group.

Baker. Gonadotropin dose and IVF live birth rate. Fertil Steril 2015.

We acknowledge that our findings could be explained in part by the tendency of physicians to prescribe a higher dose of gonadotropin when they expect a low ovarian response or have other reasons to expect a poor prognosis. However, there are several reasons why our results provide reason for concern regarding high gonadotropin dose. The inverse correlation between FSH dose and live birth rate was generally independent from patient age and number of oocytes retrieved, the largest and most important potential confounders in the analysis. In addition, the inverse correlation between FSH and live birth rate held in the models that adjusted for diagnosis as a predictor of live birth. Subgroup analysis limited to patients with expected good prognosis yielded the same results. Furthermore, the inverse relationship between gonadotropin dose and live birth rate held when average daily dose was used as the predictor, implying that the findings were not simply due to a longer duration of treatment. A randomized trial using gonadotropin doses in the ranges typically prescribed in the United States would be the most definitive way of accounting for potential confounders. However, a randomized study with sufficient sample size across age groups and range of ovarian reserve would be expensive and likely impractical. At this time, the present observational data are probably the best available for the doses currently used in the United States, and they suggest that there may be a negative effect of high gonadotropin dose.

Our study has several other limitations. It was not possible to determine the dose of LH activity (LH or hCG) received in addition to FSH, because the total gonadotropin dose reported to SART CORS includes the FSH activity from both FSH-only and hMG preparations combined. The dose of hCG to stimulate oocyte maturation was unknown. Our analysis did not include potential pregnancies from frozen embryo transfers. It is not possible to definitively know why there was no discernable effect of gonadotropin dose for cycles in women aged ≥35 years who had 1–5 oocytes retrieved, although it is plausible that no effect for this subgroup was noted because of the overall low pregnancy rates in these cycles. In these older patients with a low number of eggs retrieved, only a limited number of follicles could respond regardless of the gonadotropin dose, and these few remaining FSH-responsive follicles may contain poor-quality oocytes.

Because this study was retrospective, the findings are correlative and do not provide mechanistic insight into FSH action nor do they provide insight into how to determine when an FSH dose is excessive and detrimental to live birth rate. Observations in cows suggest that the adverse effect of high doses of gonadotropin on outcome may be due at least in part to a direct effect on the oocyte, because high FSH doses in cows are associated with a decrease in the number of transferrable embryos (23–32) and increase in the number of degenerated embryos (27) per retrieval. High FSH/LH doses, similar to those used during IVF cycles to stimulate growth of multiple ovulatory follicles, uncouple gonadotropin receptors from their respective signaling systems in granulosa, thecal, and luteal cells in nonhuman animal models (36, 37) and in antral follicles in rodents (38). High FSH causes granulosa cells in rats to undergo luteinization

(39). In dose-response studies, high yet physiologic FSH doses trigger luteinization of granulosa cells isolated from small antral follicles of cows with low or a high antral follicle count (40). Moreover, premature luteinization (as determined by high circulating P concentrations [(41)]) may be caused by excessive FSH doses during IVF cycles (42). Although it is unknown if premature luteinization per se negatively affects oocytes, high IVF doses or high circulating FSH levels diminish blastocyst development (43) and cause infertility (44) in rodents. Superovulation diminishes developmental competence of bovine oocytes (45, 46) and alters epigenetic marks on expressed genes in mice (47–49) and humans (50). Furthermore, high FSH doses increase aneuploidy in mice (51) and are suspected to increase aneuploidy in human embryos compared with milder FSH protocols during ART (14, 15). Further studies to unravel mechanisms by which FSH may impair oocyte development or embryo survival or otherwise affect the probability of pregnancy are warranted, preferably both in humans and in cows, which are a single-ovulating species with multiple waves of antral follicle growth during a long reproductive cycle (52, 53).

Although ovarian stimulation has been documented to have effects on the endometrium via supraphysiologic E_2 levels or premature rise in P secretion (7, 54), it is less clear that there is a direct effect of exogenous gonadotropin on the endometrium. A theoretic effect of gonadotropin stimulation on the endometrium is possible from hCG contained in hMG that could be present in low concentration at the time of implantation. However, any such effect of exogenous gonadotropin is speculative, and a recent randomized trial found no effect of hCG infusion into the uterine cavity at the time of embryo transfer (55).

Strengths of the present study include the large sample size with an unselected population, which allows results to be extrapolated to a normal population undergoing IVF, the large range of total gonadotropin doses examined, the stratification based on number of oocytes retrieved, and the subgroup analysis of good-prognosis patients. The group of investigators, including a clinical reproductive endocrinologist, an epidemiologist, a statistician, and two investigators with extensive experience studying superovulation in cows, offered diverse perspectives when designing the study and interpreting the data.

CONCLUSION

Although there are limitations of a retrospective study, it is notable that the strong inverse relationship between gonadotropin dose and live birth rate was significant regardless of age of patient or number of oocytes retrieved, except for patients aged ≥35 years with retrieval of 1–5 oocytes. Our analysis suggests that physicians may wish to avoid prescribing a high dose of FSH, particularly for women predicted to have a normal response or high number of oocytes retrieved.

REFERENCES

1. Macklon NS, Stouffer RL, Giudice LC, Fauser BC. The science behind 25 years of ovarian stimulation for in vitro fertilization. Endocr Rev 2006;27:170–207.

2. van der Gaast MH, Eijkemans MJ, van der Net JB, de Boer EJ, Burger CW, van Leeuwen FE, et al. Optimum number of oocytes for a successful first IVF treatment cycle. Reprod Biomed Online 2006;13:476–80.

3. Sunkara SK, Rittenberg V, Raine-Fenning N, Bhattacharya S, Zamora J, Coomarasamy A. Association between the number of eggs and live birth in IVF treatment: an analysis of 400,135 treatment cycles. Hum Reprod 2011;26:1768–74.

4. Fatemi HM, Doody K, Griesinger G, Witjes H, Mannaerts B. High ovarian response does not jeopardize ongoing pregnancy rates and increases cumulative pregnancy rates in a GnRH-antagonist protocol. Hum Reprod 2013;28:442–52.

5. Steward RG, Lan L, Shah AA, Yeh JS, Price TM, Goldfarb JM, et al. Oocyte number as a predictor for ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome and live birth: an analysis of 256,381 in vitro fertilization cycles. Fertil Steril 2014;101:967–73.

6. Bourgain C, Devroey P. The endometrium in stimulated cycles for IVF. Hum Reprod Update 2003;9:515–22.

7. Horcajadas JA, Minguez P, Dopazo J, Esteban FJ, Dominguez F, Giudice LC, et al. Controlled ovarian stimulation induces a functional genomic delay of the endometrium with potential clinical implications. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008;93:4500–10.

8. Santos MA, Kuijk EW, Macklon NS. The impact of ovarian stimulation for IVF on the developing embryo. Reproduction 2010;139:23–34.

9. Kondapalli LA, Perales-Puchalt A. Low birth weight: is it related to assisted reproductive technology or underlying infertility? Fertil Steril 2013;99:303–10.

10. Zapantis G, Szmyga MJ, Rybak EA, Meier UT. Premature formation of nucleolar channel systems indicates advanced endometrial maturation following controlled ovarian hyperstimulation. Hum Reprod 2013;28:3292–300.

11. Chen SU, Chou CH, Chen MJ, Chen TH, Yang YS, Yang JH. Apoptotic effects of high estradiol concentrations on endometrial glandular cells. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2014;99:971–80.

12. Katz-Jaffe MG, Trounson AO, Cram DS. Chromosome 21 mosaic human preimplantation embryos predominantly arise from diploid conceptions. Fertil Steril 2005;84:634–43.

13. Inge GB, Brinsden PR, Elder KT. Oocyte number per live birth in IVF: were Steptoe and Edwards less wasteful? Hum Reprod 2005;20:588–92.

14. Baart EB, Martini E, Eijkemans JM, van Opstal D, Beckers NG, Verhoeff A, et al. Milder ovarian sitmulation for in-vitro fertilization reduces aneuploidy in the human preimplantation embryo: a randomized controlled trial. Hum Reprod 2007;22:980–8.

15. Verberg MF, Macklon NS, Nargund G, Frydman R, Devroey P, Broekmans FJ, et al. Mild ovarian stimulation for IVF. Hum Reprod Update 2009;15:13–29.

16. Baker VL. Mild ovarian stimulation for in vitro fertilization: one perspective from the USA. J Assist Reprod Genet 2013;30:197–202.

17. Stadtmauer L, Ditkoff EC, Session D, Kelly A. High dosages of gonadotropins are associated with poor pregnancy outcomes after in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer. Fertil Steril 1994;61:1058–64.

18. Pal L, Jindal S, Witt BR, Santoro N. Less is more: increased gonadotropin use for ovarian stimulation adversely influences clinical pregnancy and live birth after in vitro fertilization. Fertil Steril 2008;89:1694–701.

19. Sterrenburg MD, Veltman-Verhulst SM, Eijkemans MJ, Hughes EG, Macklon NS, Broekmans FJ, et al. Clinical outcomes in relation to the daily dose of recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone for ovarian stimulation in in vitro fertilization in presumed normal responders younger than 39 years: a meta-analysis. Hum Reprod Update 2011;17:184–96.

20. Lekamge DN, Lane M, Gilchrist RB, Tremellen KP. Increased gonadotrophin stimulation does not improve IVF outcomes in patients with predicted poor ovarian reserve. J Assist Reprod Genet 2008;25:515–21.

21. Klinkert ER, Broekmans FJ, Looman CW, Habbema JD, te Velde ER. Expected poor responders on the basis of an antral follicle count do not benefit from a higher starting dose of gonadotrophins in IVF treatment: a randomized controlled trial. Hum Reprod 2005;20:611–5.

22. Arce J-C, Andersen AN, Fernandez-Sanchez M, Visnova H, Bosch E, Garcia-Velasco JA, et al. Ovarian response to recombinant human follicle-stimulating hormone: a randomized, antimullerian hormone-stratified, dose-response trial in women undergoing in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection. Fertil Steril 2014;102:1633–40.

23. McGowan MR, Braithwaite M, Jochle W, Maplecoft RJ. Superovulation of beef heifers with Pergonal (hMG): a dose response trial. Theriogenology 1985;24:173–84.

24. Pawlyshyn V, Lindsell CE, Braithwaite M, Maplecoft RJ. Superovulation of beef cows with FSH-P: a dose response trial. Theriogenology 1986;25:179.

25. Saumande J, Chupin D. Induction of superovulation in cyclic heifers: the inhibitory effect of large doses of PMSG. Theriogenology 1986;25:233–47.

26. Gonzalez A, Lussier IG, Carruthers TD, Murphy BD, Mapletoft RJ. Superovulation of beef heifers with Folltropin: a new FSH preparation containing reduced LH activity. Theriogenology 1990;33:519–29.

27. Wilson JM, Jones AL, Moore K, Looney CR, Bondioli KR. Superovulation of cattle with a recombinant-DNA bovine follicle stimulating hormone. Anim Reprod Sci 1993;33:71–82.

28. Sugano M, Watanabe S. Use of highly purified porcine FSH preparation for superovulation in Japanese black cattle. J Vet Med Sci 1997;59:223–5.

29. Kanitz W, Becker F, Schneider F, Kanitz E, Leiding C, Nohner H-P, et al. Superovulation in cattle: practical aspects of gonadotropin treatment and insemination. Reprod Nutr Dev 2002;42:587–99.

30. Mapletoft RJ, Steward KB, Adams GP. Recent advances in the superovulation in cattle. Reprod Nutr Dev 2003;42:601–11.

31. Barati F, Niasari-Naslaji A, Bolourchi M, Sarhaddi F, Razavi K, Naghzali E, et al. Superovulatory response of Sistani cattle to three different doses of FSH during winter and summer. Theriogenology 2006;66:1149–55.

32. Souza AH, Sartori R, Guenther JN, Caraviello D, Monson R, Wiltbank M. Effect of semen source and dose of FSH on superovulatory response and embryo production in Holstein heifers. Anim Reprod 2007;4:70–6.

33. Huber M, Hadziosmanovic N, Berglund L, Holte J. Using the ovarian sensitivity index to define poor, normal, and high response after controlled ovarian hyperstimulation in the long gonadotropin-releasing hormone-agonist protocol: suggestions for a new principle to solve an old problem. Fertil Steril 2013;100:1270–6.

34. Olivennes F, Howies CM, Borini A, Germond M, Trew G, Wikland M, et al. Individualizing FSH dose for assisted reproduction using a novel algorithm: the CONSORT study. Reprod Biomed Online 2011;22(Suppl 1):S73–82.

35. la Marca A, Grisendi V, Giulini S, Argento C, Tirelli A, Dondi G, et al. Individualization of the FSH starting dose in IVF/ICSI cycles using the antral follicle count. J Ovarian Res 2013;6:11.

36. Conti M, Harwood JP, Hsueh AJ, Dufau ML, Catt KJ. Gonadotropin-induced loss of hormone receptors and desensitization of adenylate cyclase in the ovary. J Biol Chem 1976;251:7729–31.

37. Amsterdam A, Hanoch T, Dantes A, Tajima K, Strauss JF, Seger R. Mechanisms of gonadotropin desensitization. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2002;187:69–74.

38. Jonassen JA, Bose K, Richards JS. Enhancement and desensitization of hormone-responsive adenylate cyclase in granulosa cells of preantral and antral ovarian follicles: effects of estradiol and follicle-stimulating hormone. Endocrinology 1982;111:74–9.

39. Tapanainen JS, Lapolt PS, Perlas E, Hsueh AJ. Induction of ovarian follicle luteinization by recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone. Endocrinology 1993;133:2875–80.

40. Scheetz D, Folger JK, Smith GW, Ireland JJ. Granulosa cells are refractory to FSH action in individuals with a low antral follicle count. Reprod Fertil Dev 2012;24:327–36.

41. Murphy BD. Models of luteinization. Biol Reprod 2000;63:2–11.

42. Ubaldi F, Camus M, Smitz J, Bennink HC, van Steirteghem A, Devroey P. Premature luteinization in in vitro fertilization cycles using gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist (GnRH-a) and recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and GnRH-a and urinary FSH. Fertil Steril 1996;66:275–80.

43. Eppig JJ, O'Brien MJ, Pendola FL, Watanabe S. Factors affecting the developmental competence of mouse oocytes grown in vitro: follicle-stimulating hormone and insulin. Biol Reprod 1998;59:1445–53.

44. McTavish KJ, Jimenez M, Walters KA, Spaliviero J, Groome NP, Themmen AP, et al. Rising follicle-stimulating hormone levels with age accelerate female reproductive failure. Endocrinology 2007;148:4432–9.

45. Lonergan P, Monaghan P, Rizos D, Boland M, Gordon I. Effect of follicle size on bovine oocyte quality and developmental competence following maturation, fertilization and culture in vitro. Mol Reprod Dev 1994;37: 48–53.

46. Blondin P, Coenen K, Guilbault LA, Sirard M-A. Superovulation can reduce the developmental competence of bovine embryos. Theriogenology 1996; 46:1191–203.

47. Fortier AL, Lopes FL, Darricarrere N, Martel J, Trasler JM. Superovulation alters the expression of imprinted genes in the midgestation mous pllacenta. Hum Mol Genet 2008;17:1653–65.

48. Market-Velker BA, Zhang L, Magri LS, Bonvissuto AC, Mann MR. Dual effects of superovulation: loss of maternal and paternal imprinted methylation in a dose-dependent manner. Hum Mol Genet 2010;19:36–51.

49. Wall Ed, McCarrey JR. Effects of exogenous endocrine stimulation on epigenetic programming of the female germline genome. Anim Reprod 2010;7: 154–64.

50. Sato A, Otsu E, Negishi H, Utsunomiya T, Arima T. Aberrant DNA methylation of imprinted loci in superovulated oocytes. Hum Reprod 2007;22: 26–35.

51. Roberts R, Iatropoulou A, Ciantar D, Stark J, Becker DL, Franks S, et al. Follicle-stimulating hormone affects metaphase I chromosome alignment and increases aneuploidy in mouse oocytes matured in vitro. Biol Reprod 2005;72:107–18.

52. Ireland JJ, Mihm M, Austin E, Diskin MG, Roche JF. Historical perspective of turnover of dominant follicles during the bovine estrous cycle: key concepts, studies, advancements, and terms. J Dairy Sci 2000;83:1648–58.

53. Baerwald AR, Adams GP, Pierson RA. Ovarian antral folliculogenesis during the human menstrual cycle: a review. Hum Reprod Update 2012;18:73–91.

54. Andersen CY, Ezcurra D. Human steroidogenesis: implications for controlled ovarian stimulation with exogenous gonadotropins. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2014;12:128.

55. Hong KH, Forman EJ, Werner MD, Upham KM, Gumeny CL, Winslow AD, et al. Endometrial infusion of human chorionic gonadotropin at the time of blastocyst embryo transfer does not impact clinical outcomes: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Fertil Steril 2014;102:1591–5.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 1

Mean age and percentages of cycles as a function of the number of oocytes retrieved at each diagnosis.

		No. of oocytes retrieved					
Variable	No. of cycles	1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	21–25	> 25
Female age (y), mean ± SD		38.0 ± 4.3	36.3 ± 4.5	35.1 ± 4.5	34.4 ± 4.5	33.9 ± 4.4	33.4 ± 4.4
Diagnosis							
Male factor	252,578	13.9	28.9	25.7	16.1	8.5	7.0
Endometriosis	77,886	17.6	31.8	24.8	14.0	6.8	5.1
Ovulation disorder	96,107	8.7	22.0	24.7	19.5	11.9	13.1
Diminished ovarian reserve	128,849	34.5	36.4	17.3	7.1	2.8	1.8
All tubal	112,517	17.7	17.4	17.1	16.7	16.5	15.4
Uterine	31,130	19.2	31.2	23.9	13.5	6.7	5.6
Other	88,661	13.3	13.4	13.3	13.5	13.4	14.5
Unexplained	89,582	12.7	30.4	26.9	16.3	7.9	5.9

Note: The row for each diagnosis totals 100%.

Baker. Gonadotropin dose and IVF live birth rate. Fertil Steril 2015.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 2

Live birth rate (%) per cycle as a function of gonadotropin dose and number of oocytes retrieved for the agonist suppression (long) protocol, which represented 48.9% of all cycles in the dataset.

FSH dose (IU)	All	Number of oocytes retrieved					
		1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	21–25	>25
All ages							
<1,000	47.0	25.7	47.1	48.5	51.5	48.1	44.4
1,000–2,000	46.4	30.2	43.2	47.7	49.4	48.9	45.7
2,001–3,000	42.1	26.2	39.3	44.3	46.0	45.2	43.0
3,001–4,000	35.8	21.8	34.4	39.3	40.4	40.3	37.6
4,001–5,000	30.3	18.2	30.2	34.1	36.3	35.4	36.3
>5,000	22.4	13.8	23.8	28.6	28.6	28.7	31.9
Age <35 y							
<1,000	49.4	29.6	50.2	51.0	53.1	50.0	45.4
1,000–2,000	48.8	34.4	45.8	50.6	51.3	51.5	46.8
2,001–3,000	46.3	33.0	43.6	48.4	49.3	47.9	45.6
3,001–4,000	42.4	29.9	41.7	45.3	44.2	44.6	41.3
4,001–5,000	38.2	26.1	37.6	41.3	41.7	43.4	42.2
>5,000	31.6	24.3	33.0	35.0	34.4	30.0	37.7
Age 35–39 y							
<1,000	42.2	–	41.4	41.9	46.6	43.5	45.7
1,000–2,000	42.0	25.5	39.4	42.1	46.3	43.1	43.7
2,001–3,000	38.9	23.8	36.9	40.6	43.2	42.7	40.2
3,001–4,000	34.2	22.1	33.0	37.1	39.4	37.7	37.0
4,001–5,000	31.3	20.4	31.8	34.8	36.7	32.2	35.6
>5,000	24.8	16.1	26.5	30.8	29.9	32.7	33.5
Age ≥40 y							
<1,000	17.0	—	—	—	—	—	—
1,000–2,000	25.9	11.4	23.2	27.1	27.3	31.0	32.7
2,001–3,000	23.2	10.2	21.3	25.7	26.8	29.1	30.6
3,001–4,000	19.4	9.1	17.9	23.2	26.6	28.5	23.3
4,001–5,000	16.0	8.8	16.3	18.7	23.1	22.9	23.1
>5,000	11.3	6.1	12.5	17.4	17.4	18.5	–

Note: Dash (—) indicates that the total cell count was <100.

Baker. Gonadotropin dose and IVF live birth rate. Fertil Steril 2015.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 3

Live birth rate (%) per cycle as a function of gonadotropin dose and number of oocytes retrieved for the agonist flare protocol, which represented 12.4% of all cycles in the dataset.

FSH dose (IU)	All	Number of oocytes retrieved					
		1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	21–25	>25
All ages							
<1,000	33.8	30.3	25.0	43.6	—	—	—
1,000–2,000	33.0	20.6	30.9	35.3	40.1	37.4	38.0
2,001–3,000	29.4	17.2	29.2	33.0	36.5	36.9	36.4
3,001–4,000	26.5	16.9	26.8	32.2	32.0	35.7	35.6
4,001–5,000	24.2	15.4	25.6	30.6	31.9	28.4	27.5
>5,000	18.8	11.7	21.6	26.0	26.1	25.1	27.5
Age <35 y							
<1,000	40.8	—	—	—	—	—	—
1,000–2,000	40.4	28.7	39.5	43.1	46.4	36.9	40.9
2,001–3,000	38.5	30.9	37.8	40.1	41.3	41.8	38.7
3,001–4,000	37.4	28.2	36.6	42.3	41.5	41.1	42.7
4,001–5,000	34.1	24.9	35.4	38.8	40.6	33.7	28.9
>5,000	30.2	21.0	32.9	37.4	36.2	33.9	—
Age 35–39 y							
<1,000	33.9	—	—	—	—	—	—
1,000–2,000	31.1	21.2	29.4	31.6	35.7	43.8	—
2,001–3,000	31.2	19.0	31.4	34.5	39.3	37.5	39.2
3,001–4,000	29.9	20.7	30.8	34.5	34.4	39.4	35.1
4,001–5,000	28.0	19.3	29.3	34.5	33.7	33.2	31.9
>5,000	22.4	15.7	24.8	28.5	28.0	26.5	—
Age ≥40 y							
<1,000	11.7	—	—	—	—	—	—
1,000–2,000	16.7	9.2	17.0	16.8	24.8	–	—
2,001–3,000	16.4	8.8	17.4	20.1	23.5	23.1	—
3,001–4,000	14.8	9.0	14.6	20.3	19.6	24.2	26.8
4,001–5,000	13.8	8.1	15.0	18.7	21.7	16.7	20.0
>5,000	10.6	5.6	13.2	16.5	16.7	16.4	—

Note: Dash (—) indicates that the total cell count was <100.

Baker. Gonadotropin dose and IVF live birth rate. Fertil Steril 2015.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 4

Live birth rate (%) per cycle as a function of gonadotropin dose and number of oocytes retrieved for the antagonist protocol, which represented 37.1% of all cycles in the dataset.

FSH dose (IU)	All	1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	21–25	>25
				Number of oocytes retrieved			
All ages							
<1,000	37.3	16.6	38.0	43.8	47.6	47.0	37.4
1,000–2,000	40.0	22.6	39.5	43.1	44.4	42.9	39.0
2,001–3,000	34.6	20.2	33.4	37.7	39.3	38.8	35.0
3,001–4,000	28.7	18.0	28.3	32.2	34.0	34.0	32.3
4,001–5,000	24.2	15.1	25.3	28.2	29.2	29.6	26.5
>5,000	18.9	12.2	20.8	24.7	24.9	23.0	22.2
Age <35 y							
<1,000	43.4	35.8	41.7	45.2	47.5	50.5	37.2
1,000–2,000	44.7	33.9	44.7	47.3	47.3	45.1	40.4
2,001–3,000	41.6	29.1	40.8	44.8	43.8	43.5	37.5
3,001–4,000	37.6	26.2	37.7	39.8	39.7	39.4	38.2
4,001–5,000	34.3	25.9	37.0	36.1	36.1	34.6	28.2
>5,000	28.6	20.7	30.5	33.6	30.9	27.1	27.2
Age 35–39 y							
<1,000	35.0	13.9	34.9	42.0	49.1	—	—
1,000–2,000	36.8	22.8	37.3	39.3	41.5	38.9	35.7
2,001–3,000	33.4	22.7	33.8	35.2	37.4	35.5	33.8
3,001–4,000	30.7	22.5	31.0	32.9	34.9	34.8	30.8
4,001–5,000	27.2	19.1	28.2	30.4	31.2	30.9	30.1
>5,000	22.9	16.5	24.7	27.6	28.4	24.0	23.6
Age ≥40 y							
<1,000	13.1	3.8	—	—	—	—	—
1,000–2,000	17.4	7.7	17.3	22.8	23.7	28.0	27.6
2,001–3,000	17.4	9.2	16.9	19.6	24.6	23.7	22.9
3,001–4,000	14.9	9.2	14.7	18.4	20.1	19.7	18.6
4,001–5,000	13.5	7.6	14.3	17.7	18.1	20.1	17.3
>5,000	10.4	6.2	11.9	14.7	15.8	17.5	13.3

Note: Dash (—) indicates that the total cell count was <100.

Baker. Gonadotropin dose and IVF live birth rate. Fertil Steril 2015.

SUPPLEMENTAL TABLE 5

Percentages of cycles as a function of the number of oocytes retrieved at each FSH dose with analysis limited to cycles with good prognosis (< 35 years of age, body mass index < 30 kg/m^2, and no diagnosis of diminished ovarian reserve, endometriosis, or ovulatory disorder).

FSH dose (IU)	No. of cycles	No. of oocytes retrieved					
		1–5	6–10	11–15	16–20	21–25	>25
<1,000	3,304	6.8	21.6	27.8	21.7	12.0	10.0
1,000–2,000	41,365	4.2	19.2	27.6	22.8	13.8	12.3
2,001–3,000	44,474	5.4	23.8	29.8	21.1	11.1	8.9
3,001–4,000	18,225	8.5	29.6	29.9	17.7	8.2	6.1
4,001–5,000	10,767	12.5	33.8	29.2	14.2	6.5	3.8
>5,000	7,149	20.4	38.9	24.5	10.4	3.5	2.3
Total	125,284	7.0	24.8	28.7	20.0	10.8	8.8

Note: Each row totals 100%.

Baker. Gonadotropin dose and IVF live birth rate. Fertil Steril 2015.